

## **Why are Options B, D, and E no longer being considered?**

Option B was set aside by the committee because it is almost identical to option A, except it did not move Moon Valley (very small group of students) from SES to NBE, and it did move The Uplands (another very small group) from NBE to OES. Those small neighborhoods have little impact on the overall enrollment picture. After reviewing the data, comments submitted, and phone calls received, option A, which moves Moon Valley to NBE from SES, and leaves The Uplands at NBE was added to the short list of options and option B was eliminated for consideration.

Option D was set aside by the committee because it is almost identical to option C, except it did not move Moon Valley (very small group of students) from SES to NBE, and it did move The Uplands (another very small group) from NBE to OES. Those small neighborhoods have little impact on the overall enrollment picture. After reviewing the data, comments submitted, and phone calls received, option C, which moves Moon Valley to NBE from SES, and leaves The Uplands at NBE was added to the short list of options, and option D was eliminated for consideration.

Option E was set aside by the committee after reviewing the data, feedback, and board criteria. In summary, Option E moved neighborhood K-North, along with the Silent Creek neighborhood to SES from CVES. Option E impacted/disrupted more children than any other option (473 students). Option E also has the highest enrollment projection for SES by year four of any of the options considered, and would very likely put SES over capacity and necessitate another boundary adjustment sooner than other options considered. Finally, the Silent Creek neighborhood included in Option E is completely within the current walk zone of CVES and not serviced by school transportation. Moving the Silent Creek neighborhood would require adding at least one bus to service this area and increase transportation costs.