

Mount Si High School
Site Design Team Workshop
2:40 – 4:40

May 20, 2015

Workshop Agenda:

1. Progress Update
2. Review of Last Design Team Workshop
3. Review of Updated Design Options
4. Group Discussion about Options

Summary:

NAC|Architecture gave an update on what had occurred since the last committee meeting.

- On May 8 and May 15 “User Group” (department) meetings were held to review the overall space list and to discuss the type and general characteristics of the spaces required for each department.
- The Thought Exchange survey results regarding the design committee had been received and an overview/executive summary was provided to the committee.

Design Option Evaluation:

A review of the pros and cons associated with the options presented/discussed at the last committee meeting were provided. The design team explained that after reviewing the pros and cons and further architectural study, they recommended that we do not pursue any longer the “Swiss Precision” or “Rhythmic Approach” options. Four options were presented, discussed, and evaluated. The Site Design Team was divided into 4 groups and moved around in groups to identify pros and cons for the various options. A summary of the discussion for each option is below:

*National talent,
local focus*

First Option – “Swiss Cheese”

This option creates two small learning communities in the existing parking lot during Phase 1 and connects the school through a linear sequence of PAC lobby, hallways, and multiple commons.

Pros:

Condensed Layout
CTE Centrally located
Good views from Commons/Media
Separate freshman entrance
Bus entry between PAC and gym

Cons:

Baseball should be next to softball
PAC is located on top of the existing PAC
some SLC’s don’t view the mountain
Phasing is more difficult

The Elevated Campus

All three "Elevated Campus" options explore the idea of locating much of the new building on the baseball/softball fields to allow the majority of the building to be created in a single phase to simplify the phasing and reduce disruption during the construction process.

Second Option – "The Elevated Campus, Option A"

Pros:

SLC's more flexible/interchangeable
CTE Centrally located
Staggered SLC's to maximize views
Separate freshman entrance
Separation of PAC and gym
Phasing

Cons:

Access to Gym during game w/out using front entry
Need covered walkways between buildings
CTE/shops – access to service
Commons is too large

Third Option – "The Elevated Campus, Option B"

Pros:

SLC's more flexible/interchangeable
CTE – good visibility from public areas
Parking/drop-off configuration
Multiple levels of the commons
Separation of the PAC and the Gym
Phasing

Cons:

Views from SLC – not as good as others
Access to PAC is more restricted
Hallway seems too long
Greater Distance - freshman to the gym

Fourth Option – "The Elevated Campus, Option C"

Pros:

Good Drop-off
Configuration of the Commons
Freshman close to PAC and Gym and admin
Phasing

Cons:

PAC and Gym next to each other
Classrooms face each other – fewer views
Need more parking at front entrance
Visibility of parking from admin area

Next Meeting – June 3, 2015
